

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATI | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------|--|
| 10/710,402 | 07/08/2004 | CHEN-HUNG HSIEN | LKSP0049USA | 4401 | |
| 27765 | 7590 08/18/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | MERICA INTELLECTU | HRUSKOCI, PETER A | | | |
| P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEI | u6 LD, VA 22116 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | 1724 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application | ı No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/710,402 | | HSIEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examiner | - | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Peter A. Hru | | 1724 | | | | | |
| 7 Period for F | The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply | ication appe | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | Idress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ Re | esponsive to communication(s) file | ed on | | | | • | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ Si | nce this application is in condition | for allowan | ce except fo | or formal matters, pro | secution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ CI | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ CI | Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ CI | Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ CI | Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) <u></u> CI | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority und | ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | f References Cited (PTO-892) | | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 3) Informat | f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date | | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite atent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification paragraphs 0009, 0010,0026, and 0027 "setting", 0023 "phosphorate", and 0026 "medicaments" appear to be erroneous.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 6 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 6 and 13 "medicaments" and "setting" appear to be erroneous. Claims 14 and 15 depend from claim 13.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krulik et al. 6,645,385. It is submitted that Krulik et al. disclose (see col. 1 line 19 through col. 7 line 50) the method steps recited in the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krulik et al. as above, and further in view of Yamasaki et al. 6,572,771. The claims differ from Krulik et al. as applied above, by reciting specific steps for adding and mixing flocculants and polymers. Yamasaki et al. disclose (see col. 13 line 17 through col. 14 line 34) that it is known in the art to calcium hydroxide, polychlorinated aluminum, and macromolecular flocculant, in separate mixing steps, to aid in settling calcium fluoride from wastewater. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Krulik et al. by including the recited adding and mixing steps in view of the teachings of Yamasaki et al., to aid in separating fluoride from the wastewater. The specific type of mixing utilized in the tanks, would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific wastewater treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Claims 9-12 are allowed.

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30AM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,402 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

8/14/06